Evaluation of latitude dependent time of trans-ionospheric ELF/VLF impulse propagation and LEO incident directions

<u>P. Steinbach</u>^(1,2), L. Juhász⁽²⁾, D. Koronczay^(2,3), O. Ferencz⁽²⁾, J. Bór⁽³⁾, J. Lichtenberger⁽²⁾

- (1) MTA-ELTE Research Group for Geology, Geophysics and Space Sci., HAS, Budapest, Hungary
- (2) Eötvös Univ., Dept of Geophysics and Space Sci., Space Research Group, Budapest, Hungary
- (3) Geodetic and Geophysical Institute, RCAES, HAS, Sopron, Hungary

steinb@sas.elte.hu





motivation, target and tool:

- to provide (possibly) more accurate prop. time of 0⁺ whistlers, than used now in modelling
 - inversion of whistlers recorded on-board <- better plasmasphere density estimation due to better ionospheric correction
- to draw (refined) picture of impulse prop. topology across the ionosphere, consistent with the growing set of reference LEO satellite wave records
 - the use of 0⁺ whistlers for ionosphere modeling, towards a unified plasma environment description
- UWB, real full-wave solutions of the Maxwell's eqs. [Ferencz et al. 2006], closed formulae for time domain field components
 - arbitrary excitation (Dirac or finite length waveform)
 - arbitary ion composition, loss by collision freq.
 - arbitrary inhomogenity (no WKB, longitudinal), or

oblique (homogeneous)

- common path for the whole impulse (contradicting with RT/optic approach)

motivation, target and tool:

- to provide (possibly) more accurate prop. time of 0⁺ whistlers, than used now in modelling
 - inversion of whistlers recorded on-board <- better plasmasphere density estimation due to better ionospheric correction
- to draw (refined) picture of impulse prop. topology across the ionosphere, consistent with the growing set of reference LEO satellite wave records
 - the use of 0⁺ whistlers for ionosphere modeling, towards a unified plasma environment description
- UWB, real full-wave solutions of the Maxwell's eqs. [Ferencz et al. 2006], closed formulae for time domain field components
 - arbitrary excitation (Dirac or finite length waveform)
 - arbitary ion composition, loss by collision freq.
 - arbitrary inhomogenity (no WKB, longitudinal), or

oblique (homogeneous)

- common path for the whole impulse (contradicting with RT/optic approach)

model parameters: wp, wb, distance, beta



time (sec)

the propagation factors:

$$\begin{split} k_{z} &= \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\omega^{2} - \frac{\omega \omega_{b} \omega_{p}^{2} \sqrt{4(\omega^{2} - \omega_{p}^{2})^{2} \cos^{2} \beta + \omega^{2} \omega_{b}^{2} \sin^{4} \beta}{2[\omega_{b}^{2} \omega_{p}^{2} \cos^{2} \beta + \omega^{2} (\omega_{2} - \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega_{p}^{2})]}} \\ \alpha_{z} &= \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\omega^{2} - \frac{\omega \omega_{b} \omega_{p}^{2} \sqrt{4(\omega^{2} - \omega_{p}^{2})^{2} \cos^{2} \beta + \omega^{2} \omega_{b}^{2} \sin^{4} \beta}{2[\omega_{b}^{2} \omega_{p}^{2} \cos^{2} \beta + \omega^{2} \omega_{b}^{2} \sin^{4} \beta + \omega^{2} \omega_{p}^{2} [\omega_{b}^{2} \sin^{2} \beta - 2(\omega^{2} - \omega_{p}^{2})]}} \\ \end{split}$$

time domain (electric) field:

$$E(t) = F^{-1}\left\{ (E_1 \cos \beta - E_3 \sin \beta) \cdot e^{-j \frac{k_0}{\cos \beta} \cdot x} \right\}$$

where

 $E_1 = M_{xw}E_3$

$$E_{3} = \frac{-Z_{0}I_{x0}}{\left\{\left(\cos\beta + \frac{k_{x}}{k_{0}}\right) + M_{xw}\left(\sin\beta + \frac{k_{x}}{k_{0}}\tan\beta\right) + \left[\left(\cos\beta - j\frac{\alpha_{x}}{k_{0}}\right) + M_{xN}\left(\sin\beta - j\frac{\alpha_{x}}{k_{0}}\tan\beta\right)\right]\frac{M_{xw}\cos\beta - \sin\beta}{-M_{xN}\cos\beta + \sin\beta}\right\}}$$

 $M_{xw} = \frac{-c^2 k_x^2 \tan \beta}{\omega_p^2 - \omega^2 + c^2 k_x^2 \tan^2 \beta} \text{ and } M_{xW} = \frac{c^2 \alpha_x^2 \tan \beta}{\omega_p^2 - \omega^2 - c^2 \alpha_x^2 \tan^2 \beta} \qquad [Ferencz \ et \ al. \ 2001]$

example case of **lsq bestfits** for the most probable entry *point* at the ionosphere bottomside



Simple concept, direct search, medium fixed by IGRF & IRI, free parameter is the location of the starting point only

sub-satellite **o**: 110.36 E; 1.11N geom.lat: 6.74S, L: 1.09,

best fit *,

field line footprint @100km \square : 110.27E; 7.99S

whistler dispersion: 2.7 $s^{1\!\prime_2}$



geographic longitude

example case of lsq bestfits for the most probable entry *point* at the ionosphere bottomside



Simple concept, direct search, medium fixed by IGRF & IRI, free parameter is the location of the starting point only

sub-satellite **o**: 110.36 E; 1.11N geom.lat: 6.74S, L: 1.09,

best fit *,

field line footprint @100km \square : 110.27E; 7.99S

whistler dispersion: 2.7 $s^{\ensuremath{\nu_2}\xspace}$



geographic longitude







Optimum parameter fields of 5km thin layers at different altitudes of a model path





entry point @100km 40N;5E geographic





2 4412 12 12 1			
satellite position	orbit altitude satellite position		L
geographic		geomagnetic	
9,4° S;128,4 °E	721,0 km	18,4° S;200,4 ⁰E	1,24
6,2° S;127,7 °E	719,6 km	14,9° S;199,5 ℃	1,19
4,2° S;127,2 °E	718,7 km	12,7° S;198,9 ℃	1,17
1,5° S;126,7 °E	716,6 km	<mark>9,7° S</mark> ;198,3 ⁰E	1,15
1,7° N;126,0 °E	716,5 km	<mark>6,2° S</mark> ;197,5 ℃	1,13
4,1° N;125,5 °E	715,6 km	<mark>3,3° S</mark> ;197,0 ⁰E	1,12
	geographic 9,4° S;128,4 °E 6,2° S;127,7 °E 4,2° S;127,2 °E 1,5° S;126,7 °E 1,7° N;126,0 °E 4,1° N;125,5 °E	satellite position orbit attitude geographic	sateline position orbit altitude sateline position geographic geomagnetic 9,4° S;128,4 °E 721,0 km 18,4° S;200,4 °E 6,2° S;127,7 °E 719,6 km 14,9° S;199,5 °E 4,2° S;127,2 °E 718,7 km 12,7° S;198,9 °E 1,5° S;126,7 °E 716,6 km 9,7° S;198,3 °E 1,7° N;126,0 °E 716,5 km 6,2° S;197,5 °E 4,1° N;125,5 °E 715,6 km 3,3° S;197,0 °E

В

D

F

Sequence of whistler pairs recorded at low latitude pass

DEMETER VLF ICE orbit 714, 20th Aug. 2004.



low-latitude whistler pairs, CHIBIS-M, electric sensor (ch0) 2012.12.01. 18:51:03UT 93.48E; 8.87N L=1.08





-1

1500

1000

500



Removal of the prop. effect (dechirping) by one set of medium parameters for all frequencies *works <-> separate* paths of RT concept?



Comparison of model (calculated directions) to the wave records

Multi-component analysis in LEO satellite recordings,

- scaling -> trace f-t points

- whistler model fit -> whistler parameters

- matched filtering -> *instanteneous, amplitude and phase data sequence,* characterizing the selected coherent signal

-> Poynting vector from 6 independent signal amplitudes vs. freq

-> k prop. factor,

k || *B*(t1) × *B*(t2)

or

-> direct spectral matrix method by means of Means (1972),







Demeter ICE ELF 2004.10.01. 16.04.25 19.76N 89.37E 701.1km

Blue curve represents the projected k(f)at the lower ionosphere, (**o**) (\Box) (*) symbols sign the position of the subsatellite, best fit result of the UWB modeling, and the footprint of the geomagnetic field line, respectively.



Incident direction varies according to the modelled lat. dependent oblique paths



Incident directions do not exhibit significant change vs. freq, supporting the concept of one common path of the whole impulse.





file# 00350/00742 segment#010 steps#012 DMT_N1_113*_20050706_100930_20050706_203827.DAT



supporting the concept of one common path of the whole impulse.

8th VERSIM Workshop Apatity 19-25 March 2018

coordsys: Satellite/Satellite

Latitude dependent **propagation time** in the ionosphere is

- (almost) negligible in hop whistler inversion (Park, 1972*),
- may be significant correction factor in fractional-hop whistler inversion (e.g. RBSP/VAP),
- fundamental parameter in LEO whistler inversion, future ionosphere monitoring.



Latitude dependent propagation time in the ionosphere is

- (almost) negligible in hop whistler inversion,
- significant correction factor in fractional-hop whistler inversion,
- fundamental parameter in LEO whistler inversion.

Classic time correction of cross-ionosphere propagation (Park, 1972) much underestimates real values, due to neglecting effect of oblique prop.

path start @100km	path end @700km	path dip @700km	obliqe angle @700km	oblique prop. time of 5kHz	classic ionosph. prop. correction
65.0N; 5E	64.08N;5.11E	74.85°	4.6°	36.0 ms	29.2 ms
52.5N; 5E	51.03N;5.06E	65.86°	6.9°	39.8 ms	34.5 ms
42.5N; 5E	40.39N;5.04E	51.51°	11.1°	48.8 ms	35.4 ms
30.0N; 5E	26.55N;5.06E	35.4°	20.4°	88.8 ms	35.3 ms

8th VERSIM Workshop Apatity 19-25 March 2018 30 1.4 L:1.25 L:1.12 L:1.03

2000 km IRI limit

> L:4.8 L:3.5 L:2.6 L:2.1 L:1.7 L:1.4

LEO

700 km 100 km Re

2004.08.20. 13.56 UT

Conclusions:

- Applicaton of *UWB, real full wave* solution for impulse propagation in a stratified
 3D Ionosphere yielded curved, *latitude dependent* paths according to a *minimum prop. time condition*. The resulted topology involves single entry point into the plasma, and *unique path* for the whole whistler in contradiction to RT concept.
- Incident angles in multi-component LEO records correspond to and support the above wave oblique propagation picture.
- This modelling of the unducted, oblique impulse propagation in the anisotropic plasma yielded significantly different propagation time values than has been used as time correction of regular whistler analysis in the plasmasphere diagnostics.
- Realistic propagation models give the option of ionosphere diagnostics based on inversion of short path fractional hop whistlers recorded on board of LEO sats.

Thank you for your attention !

Acknowledgement:

This research and VERSIM attendance of the corresponding author has been partially financed by the Hungarian R&D Fund: OTKA #NKFIH K115836.

Authors thank CNES for the satellite data access within the frame of DEMETER Guest Investigator Program.